

In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Travis Buntin,

Applicant,

v.

**Schlumberger Technology
Corporation,**

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. S-17309

Amended Order Rejecting Reply Brief

Date of Order: 9/10/19

Date of Amended Order: 9/16/19

U.S. District Court Case No. **3:16-CV-00073-TMB**

Reply brief and excerpt of record, filed on 8/29/19, are rejected for the following reasons specified by the law clerk who reviewed the brief and excerpt for technical compliance with Appellate Rules 210 and 212.

-No summary or quotation from listed statutes.

-No short conclusion stating the precise relief sought (See Appellate Rule 212(c)(1)(J)).

-There are parts of the reply brief that require additional citations. (see p1 lines 14-15, p3 line 7-8, p4 line 13, p5 lines 8-10, p7 lines 1-3, p7 lines 10-11, p8 lines 8-10, p11 lines 4-5, p13 lines 1-2, p11 lines 11-13, p13 lines 19-20, p14 throughout).

The original and one copy of the corrected brief, and the excerpt, with proof of service, are due on or before **9/23/19**.

Entered under Appellate Rule 102(f).

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

Ryan Montgomery-Sythe, Chief Deputy
Clerk

Distribution:

Buntin v. Schlumberger Technology Corp.
Supreme Court No. S-17309
Order of 9/10/2019
Page 2

Mail:

Pace, Daniel Ian
Seaver, Timothy W.
Legacki, Kenneth W.
Zurik, Samuel
Sperbeck, Aaron D.
Regimbal, Martin
Bowdler, Bryan
Lombardi, Robert